Content available at: https://www.ipinnovative.com/open-access-journals



International Journal of Pharmaceutical Chemistry and Analysis

Journal homepage: https://www.ijpca.org/

Original Research Article

Teneligliptin hydrobromide hydrate mouth dissolving strip: Formulation and evaluation

S.M. Ghurghure¹, S.M. Attar^{1*}, Sumit¹

¹Dept. of Pharmacy, D.S.T.S. Mandals College of Pharmacy, Solapur, Maharashtra, India



SIVE PUBLIC

ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 10-04-2024 Accepted 17-05-2024 Available online 23-07-2024

Keywords: Teneligliptin hydrobromide hydrate Oral disintegrating film Insulin secretion Disintegrating property

ABSTRACT

Oral route is considered as one of the most convenient route for administration of various pharmaceutical dosage form like tablet, capsule, syrup, suspension and emulsion. Fast Dissolving Drug Delivery systems have developed various fast disintegrating preparations like Oral disintegrating film, ODF. Oral disintegrating film is the solid oral drug delivery system, in which water soluble polymer involve to disintegrate film into mouth fastly. Oral disintegrating film is superior as compare to orally disintegrating film of tteneligliptin hydrobromide hydrate to have rapid onset of action. of tteneligliptin hydrobromide hydrate which is an anti-diabetic. The mechanism action of Teneligliptin is to increase incretin levels (GLP-1 and GIP), which inhibit glucagon release, which in turn increases insulin secretion, decreases gastric emptying, and decreases blood glucose levels.

The concept of formulating fast disintegrating film Teneligliptin containing offers a suitable and practical approach in serving desired objective of faster disintegration and dissolution characteristics with increased bioavailability. ODF of Teneligliptin were prepared by solvent casting method and evaluated physicochemical parameters like thickness, weight variation, moisture content, folding endurance, drug content, etc. All the formulations of Teneligliptin ODF displayed optimum folding endurance, which indicates the formulation prepared can withstand handling and transportation. From the results obtained, it was concluded that the formulation of oral disintegrating film has better physical chemical properties with good disintegration property.

This is an Open Access (OA) journal, and articles are distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-ShareAlike 4.0 License, which allows others to remix, tweak, and build upon the work non-commercially, as long as appropriate credit is given and the new creations are licensed under the identical terms.

For reprints contact: reprint@ipinnovative.com

1. Introduction

The oral route of drug administration is the most advantageous route due to ease of administration, non-invasiveness, adaptability, patient compliance and acceptability. Regarding the oral route of drug delivery, many substitutes have been continuously presented using the latest new technologies for pediatric, geriatric, nausea and non-adherent patients. Orally disintegrating films (ODFS), when placed on the tongue, immediately hydrate by soaking saliva upon disintegration and/or dissolution releasing the active pharmaceutical agent from the dosage form. ODFS are types of preparations that are commonly prepared using hydrophilic polymers allowing rapid dissolution upon contact with saliva.¹

Research and development in the oral drug delivery segment has led to a shift in dosage forms from simple conventional tablets/capsules to modified release tablets/capsules to the oral disintegrating tablet (ODT) to the recent development of the oral strip (OS). In principle, an OS can be thought of as an ultra-thin strip about the size of a postage stamp with an active substance or active pharmaceutical ingredient and other excipients. The advantages of portability of the dosage of OS have led to a

https://doi.org/10.18231/j.ijpca.2024.023

* Corresponding author.

E-mail address: kaisa9220@gmail.com (S. M. Attar).

^{2394-2789/© 2024} Author(s), Published by Innovative Publication.

wider acceptability of this dosage form in both the pediatric and geriatric populations.²

It has been known for centuries that solutes are rapidly absorbed when administered orally (buccal and sublingual administration). This absorption occurs via the reticular vein, which lies beneath the oral mucosa and is transported via the facial veins, the internal jugular vein, and the brachiocephalic vein. The absorbed drugs are then discharged into the systemic circulation. Therefore, oral administration of drugs can be used to bypass first-pass metabolism of drugs in the liver. The oral cavity regions offer higher absorption of drugs in the systemic circulation.

Formulation of a fast-dissolving film involves the application of both aesthetic and functional properties such as strip-forming polymers, emollients, active pharmaceutical ingredient, sweeteners, saliva stimulating agent, flavorings, dyes, stabilizers and thickeners. From a regulatory perspective, all excipients used in the formulation of oral medication strips should be approved for use in oral pharmaceutical dosage forms.³

2. Objectives

The Present research investigation is planed with the following objectives-

To develop Oral disintegrating film of t eneligliptin hydrobromide hydrate using solvent casting method.

To provide better bioavailability, quick onset of action of drug.

Patient's compliance due to development of ODF.

To evaluate the formulations with respect to various physical parameters like thickness of film, moisture content, folding endurance, Content uniformity, Drug release, disintegration time, etc.

3. Materials and Methods

Materials: Teneligliptin hydrobromide hydrate was obtained from smruthi organics limited A-27, MIDC-Chincholi, Solapur.

3.1. Method

General procedure for preparation of oral disintegrating $\operatorname{film}^{4\text{-}8}$

The Oral disintegrating film of teneligliptin using polymers were prepared by solvent casting method. An aqueous solution of polymers was prepared in distilled water by soaking for 1 hr. & it was stirred with magnetic stirrer until polymer was completely dissolved. Teneligliptin was added to the aqueous polymeric solution and mixed thoroughly with magnetic stirrer. This was followed by addition of plasticizers like propylene glycol.

Thereafter, Sweetener like sacchrine was also added to the above solution. Citric acid was also mixed with it. The solution was mixed for 1 hour using magnetic stirrer so as to get homogeneous solution. After the final solution was placed on ultra-sonication for 1hr (to remove the air bubble). The solution was casted on a petri dish (diameter 9 cm) and dried at room temperature for 24 hr. The film was carefully removed from Petri dish, checked for any imperfections and cut into the required size to deliver the equivalent dose (2x2cm) per strip and packed in self-sealing covers and stored in a desiccator until further analysis.

Characterization of Oral disintegrating film

3.2. Physical appearance⁵

The prepared oral films were evaluated for color, peelability, transparency, homogeneity, and flexibility.

3.3. Thickness of film^{9,10}

Thickness of film was measured using digital Thickness gauge with a least count of 0.01 mm. The thickness was measured at different strategic locations. Each film was measured at 5 positions (center and four corners) and the mean thickness was calculated. This is essential to determine uniformity in the thickness of the film as this is directly related to accuracy of dose in the film.

3.4. Tack/Dryness test¹¹

Tackiness was determined by gently pressing the film between fingertips and noting whether it was tacky or nontacky.

3.5. Weight variation test¹²

For weight variation three films of every formulation were taken individually on digital balance then average was calculated.

3.6. Folding endurance^{9,10}

Folding endurance was determined by repeatedly folding one film at the same place until it broke. The number of times the film folded at the same place without breaking or cracking gives the value of folding endurance.

3.7. Moisture content^{13,14}

The prepared films were weighed and kept in a desiccator containing anhydrous calcium chloride. The films were weighed repeatedly until they showed constant weight. Percent moisture content was determined using the formula,

Ingradiants	Qty (mg)						
Ingreatents	F1	F2	F3	F4	F5	F6	
Teneligliptin	20	20	20	20	20	20	
HPMC E50	60	40	-	-	-	-	
Pectin	-	-	60	40	-	-	
Sodium Carboxy Methylcellulos	-	-	-	-	60	40	
Propylene Glycol	15	15	15	15	15	15	
Sodium Starch Glycolate	20	20	20	20	20	20	
Sacchrine	20	20	20	20	20	20	
Citric Acid	7	7	7	7	7	7	
Distilled Water	Qs	Qs	Qs	Qs	Qs	Qs	

Table 1:

% Moisture Content = <u>Initial weight of the film</u> × 100 Initial weight of the film

3.8. pH value¹⁵

The pH value was determined by dissolving the film in 10 ml of distilled water. The pH value was recorded after bringing the electrode of the pH meter into contact with the surface of the formulation and allowing equilibration for 1 minute. All determinations were performed in triplicate. The film must have an almost uniform pH value.

3.9. Drug content^{16,17}

Drug content for all batches was determined by dissolving a 2 x 2 cm2 strip in 50 mL of dissolution medium. The solution was filtered through Whatman filter paper and analysed with a UV-spectrophotometer at 241 nm. Content uniformity tests were performed in triplicate for each batch of film.

3.10. Disintegration time, ^{18–20}

The in vitro disintegration time was determined visually in a glass beaker with 25 mL of distilled water with stirring every 10 seconds. Breakdown time is the time when the film begins to break or disintegrate. There are no established guidelines for estimating the disintegration time of orally rapidly disintegrating films.

3.11. In-vitro drug release

For in-vitro dissolution studies, drug release studies were determined by Franz Diffusion Cell Apparatus having external diameter is 3 cm, internal diameter is 2.8cm, the height of diffusion cell apparatus is 8cm and volume is 30ml. The receptor compartment maintained at 37°C was continuously stirrer at 50rpm. Sample of 1ml was withdrawn at a predetermine time interval and replace with an equal volume of the dissolution medium equilibrated at the same temperature. The drug concentration of the withdrawn sample was determined by UV Spectrophotometer at 241 nm All studies were carried out in triplicate for each batch of the film sink conditions were maintained throughout the study.

4. Results and Discussion



Figure 1: Pre-formulation study of drug

The melting point was carried out by using capillary tube method. Melting point of teneligliptin was found to be 208° C.

5. Identification of Drug by λ_{max}

Determination of Maximum wavelength of teneliglipitin

The wavelength of maximum absorption of the drug was determined by preparing stock solution of drug in buffer solution pH, scanned in the range of 200-400 nm and absorbance was measured by UV-Spectrophotometer (Systronic, UV 2201) and it was found that drug showing maximum absorption at 241.6nm

5.1. Calibration curve of teneligliptin in water

Teneligliptin showed maximum absorption at wavelength 241.6 nm in water. Standard curve was plotted by taking absorption of diluted stock solution (5,10,15,20,25 μ g/ml) at wavelength of 241.6nm.

Fable 2: Study of organoleptic properties						
Sr. no	Test	Specification	Observation			
1	Appearance	A white to off white powder	Complies			
2	Taste	Slightly Bitter	Complies			
3	Odour	odourless	Complies			

Table 3: Melting point determination

Sr. no	Melting point of tenelig	Melting point of teneligliptin hydrobromide				
	Standard value	Observed value				
1	218°C	208°C				

Table 4: Calibration curve of teneligliptin in water

Sr. no	Concentration µg/ml	Absorbance at 241.6 nm
1	5	0.15
2	10	0.220
3	15	0.291
4	20	0.397
5	25	0.513

Table 5: Physical appearance of teneligliptin strip

Formulation code	Visual appearance	Colour	Tack test	Flexibility
1	Transparent	Pale yellow	tacky	Flexible
2	Transparent	Pale yellow	Non tacky	Flexible
3	Transparent	Pale yellow	Non tacky	Flexible
4	Transparent	Pale yellow	Non tacky	Flexible
5	Transparent	Pale yellow	Non tacky	Flexible
6	Transparent	Pale yellow	Non tacky	Flexible



Figure 2: identification of drug λ_{max}





5.2. Physical appearance

In the following table, Visual appearance, color, Tack test were checked.

5.3. Evaluation parameters for physical appearance of films

Physical parameters were checked simply with visual inspection of oral disintegrating film and by feel or touch.

Thickness of fast dissolving film depends on the concentration of polymer. The thickness of the oral disintegrating films were measured using digital thickness gauge and the average thickness of all films is given in above table.

5.4. Weight variation

The weight of Fast dissolving film was determined using digital balance and the average weight of all fast dissolving films are given in table. All the batches were uniform in weight with no significant difference in the weight of the individual formulations from the average value. Weight variation was found to be in the range of 36 to 47 mg for prepared films. Among all batches F3, F4, F6 showed the highest weight of film may be due to increased concentration of polymer.

Formulation code	F1	F2	F3	F4		F5	F6
Thickness (mm)	s (mm) 0.002 0.004 0.003 0.004			0.004	0.005		
Table 7: Weightvariation	of different for	nulations of ten	eligliptin				
Formulation code		F1	F2	F3	F4	F5	F6
Weight Variation		43	36	43	45	39	47
Table 8: Moisture conten	t of different for	rmulations of te	neligliptin				
Formulation code		F1	F2	F3	F4	F5	F6
Moisture Content		0.5	0.9	0.5	0.8	15	12

 Table 6: Thickness for different formulations of teneligliptin

5.5. Moisture content

Percentage moisture content was calculated to check the integrity of films at the dry condition. Also, the mechanical properties of the films are greatly affected by the moisture content. This quality control parameter enables to detect the protection of the films against drying out during storage. All the formulations showed % moisture content in between 0.5% to 1.5% to retain the plasticizing effect of the films.

5.6. Folding endurance

The films were subjected to folding endurance to evaluate the flexibility studies and also it gives an indication of brittleness of film. The folding endurance of the fastdissolving film was determined by repeatedly folding a small strip of the ODF at the same place till it broke and the average folding endurance of all fast-dissolving film is given in table.

5.7. Surface pH

The surface pH of the ODF_S was determined to investigate the possible side effects due to change in pH In-vivo, since an acidic or alkaline pH may irritate the oral mucosa. Surface pH was determined by using pH meter. The surface pH of formulated ODF_S was found to be in the range of 5.5 to 6.5 which indicates that the formulated ODF_S were in the neutral pH range and would not cause any irritation after placing in the oral cavity.

6. In-Vitro Disintegration Time

Film of $2\times 2\text{cm}^2$ size taken and disintegration time checked visually. In each case three fast dissolving films were used and average disintegration time was calculated, the results are shown in table

6.1. Drug content

The Prepared fast dissolving films are evaluated for drug content uniformity. Drug content test was performed to ensure uniform distribution of the drug. Drug content varies



Figure 4: Korsmeyer-peppas model of F1 to F3 formulation



Figure 5: Korsmeyer-peppas model of F1 to F3 formulation

between all the films.

According to USP requirement, criteria for drug uniformity should be 85 %-115% of the label claim.

6.2. Kinetic study

To investigate the mechanism of drug release from the teneligliptin mouth dissolving films various kinetics models like zero order, first order, Higuchi's and Korsmeyer-Peppas equations were applied to the in vitro release

Formulation cod	le F	1	F2	F3	F4	F5	F6
Folding Endurar	rance 118 113 105 109		109	148	135		
Table 10: Surface	pH of different f	ormulations of te	eneligliptin				
Formulation code	F1	F2	F3	F4	ļ	F5	F6
Surface Ph	5.6	6.4	5.8	6.	1	6.3	5.7
Table 11: Disinte	gration time of d	fferent formulat	ions of teneliglipt	in			
Formulation cod	e	F1	F2	F3	F4	F5	F6
1 officiation cou		1 1					
Table 12: Determ	ime sec inationof drug co	54 ontent of differen	57 t formulations of F2	45 teneligliptin F3	51 F4	48 F5	58 F6
Table 12: Determ Formulation cod	ime sec inationof drug co le	54 ontent of differen F1 86.5	57 t formulations of F2 89.1	45 teneligliptin F3 96.3	51 F4 91.2	48 F5 94	58 F6 85.8
Table 12: Determ Formulation cod Drug content	ime sec inationof drug co le	54 ontent of differen F1 86.5	57 tt formulations of F2 89.1	45 teneligliptin F3 96.3	51 F4 91.2	48 F5 94	58 F6 85.8
Table 12: Determ Formulation cod Drug content Table 13: In-vitro Time	imationof drug co inationof drug co le release study of F1	54 ontent of differen F1 86.5 different formul F2	57 tt formulations of F2 89.1 ations of teneligli F3	45 teneligliptin F3 96.3 ptin Fast dissolving F4	51 F4 91.2	48 F5 94	58 F6 85.8 F6
Table 12: Determ Formulation cod Drug content Table 13: In-vitro Time 0	ime sec inationof drug co le release study of F1 0.00	54 ontent of differen F1 86.5 different formul F2 0.00	57 t formulations of F2 89.1 ations of teneligli F3 0.0	45 teneligliptin F3 96.3 ptin Fast dissolving F4 0 0.000	51 F4 91.2	48 F5 94 F5 0.00	F6 85.8 F6 0.00
Table 12: Determ Formulation cod Drug content Table 13: In-vitro Time 0 1	ime sec inationof drug co le release study of F1 0.00 16.1	54 ontent of differen F1 86.5 different formul F2 0.00 17.23	57 t formulations of F2 89.1 ations of tenelight F3 0.00 22.4	45 teneligliptin F3 96.3 ptin Fast dissolving t F4 0 0.00 9 18.93	51 F4 91.2 film	48 F5 94 F5 0.00 12.47	58 F6 85.8 F6 0.00 13.22
Table 12: Determ Formulation cod Drug content Table 13: In-vitro Time 0 1 2	ime sec inationof drug co le release study of F1 0.00 16.1 28	54 ontent of differen F1 86.5 different formul F2 0.00 17.23 39.05	57 t formulations of F2 89.1 ations of teneligli F3 0.0 22.4 46.8	45 teneligliptin F3 96.3 ptin Fast dissolving t F4 0 0.00 9 18.9 9 44.5	51 F4 91.2 film 3 3	48 F5 94 F5 0.00 12.47 28.05	F6 85.8 F6 0.00 13.22 27.06
Table 12: Determ Formulation cod Drug content Table 13: In-vitro Time 0 1 2 3	ime sec inationof drug co le release study of F1 0.00 16.1 28 45	54 ontent of differen F1 86.5 different formul F2 0.00 17.23 39.05 55.37	57 t formulations of F2 89.1 ations of teneligli F3 0.0 22.4 46.8 65.8	45 teneligliptin F3 96.3 ptin Fast dissolving to F4 0 0.00 9 18.9 9 44.5 4 61.8	51 F4 91.2 film 3 8 8	48 F5 94 F5 0.00 12.47 28.05 51.05	F6 85.8 F6 0.00 13.22 27.06 43.85
Table 12: Determ Formulation cod Drug content Table 13: In-vitro Time 0 1 2 3 4	ime sec inationof drug co le release study of F1 0.00 16.1 28 45 60	54 ontent of different F1 86.5 different formul F2 0.00 17.23 39.05 55.37 75.93	57 t formulations of F2 89.1 ations of teneligli F3 0.00 22.4 46.8 65.8 78.4	45 teneligliptin F3 96.3 ptin Fast dissolving i F4 0 0.00 9 18.9 9 44.5 4 61.8 8 77.5	51 F4 91.2 film 3 8 8 1	48 F5 94 F5 0.00 12.47 28.05 51.05 69.05	F6 85.8 F6 0.00 13.22 27.06 43.85 62.44

	Zero order	First order	Higuchi	Korsmeyer Peppas
Formulation code	\mathbb{R}^2	\mathbb{R}^2	\mathbb{R}^2	\mathbb{R}^2
F1	0.9951	0.9406	0.8620	0.9973
F2	0.9979	0.9444	0.8921	0.9981
F3	0.9847	0.9605	0.9341	0.9967
F4	0.9798	0.9709	0.9281	0.9920
F5	0.9899	0.9177	0.8355	0.9965
F6	0.9950	0.9410	0.8514	0.9989

data. The values of correlation-coefficient (r2) for all the selected formulations were high enough to evaluate the drug release behavior. The kinetic results revealed that the selected formulations followed Korsmeyer-Peppas as correlation-coefficient (r2) values (0.9920-0.9989) of Korsmeyer-Peppas are higher than that of first order values (0.9406-0.9709). When the data was plotted as per Higuchi kinetics, fairly linear plots were obtained with correlation coefficient values ranging from 0.8355-0.9341 for all the formulations. The drug release was proportional to square root of time indicating that the drug release from teneligliptin mouth dissolving films was diffusion controlled. The release data obtained were also put in Korsmeyer-Peppas 1 in order to find out n values, which describe the drug release mechanism. The r² values of teneligliptin mouth dissolving films were found in the range of 0.9406-0.9709., the mechanisum of drug release is nonfickian diffusion .since they fitted well with Korsmeyer-Peppas models. This indicate the drug release depend on swelling and diffusion mechanism of release.

7. Conclusion

The orally disintegrating film is an emerging oral dosage form, which is elegant, easily portable, and does not require water for swallowing.

The aim of the present research work was to formulate the oral disintegrating film and evaluate different formulations of fast dissolving films of antidiabetic drug teneligliptin to achieve faster drug release. This study shows that it is possible to formulate FDF of teneligliptin with the intention of obtaining better therapeutic efficiency with increasing bioavailability and improving patient compliance. Around six formulations of teneligliptin were developed as fast dissolving films using various excipients .Films were found to be satisfactory when evaluated for thickness, Weight uniformity, in-vitro drug release, folding endurance, drug content and disintegration time. The surface Ph of all the films was found to be neutral. ODF_S containing low amount of polymer and plasticizer i.e., formulation F3 had shown optimum disintegration and in vitro release, when compared with other formulation. So, it was concluded that F3 is the Optimized formulation of all the series of formulations. The optimized formulation (F3) shows highest percent drug release of 96.65% at the end of 5 min with fastest disintegration time of 45 sec.

Present study reveals that the formulated films showed satisfactory film parameters. It can be concluded that, Mouth dissolving film containing teneligliptin can be prepared by solvent casting method and formulated OF_S release the drug into systemic circulation rapidly from the site of administration providing an alternative approach to treat diabetes so it can be conveniently administered in the form of films.

8. Source of Funding

None.

9. Conflict of Interest

None.

References

- Muhammad I, Subahdar R, Qadir Q, Jabeen M, Khan F. Orally disintegrating films: A modern expansion in drug delivery system. *Saudi Pharm J.* 2016;24(5):537–46.
- Dixit RP, Puthli SP. Oral strip technology: Overview and future Potential. J Cont Rel. 2009;139(2):94–107.
- Vishwakarma P, Deshkar P, Lal N, Dhudekar S. Orally Disintegrating Strips (ODS) Convenience of Liquid Dosage Form and Dose Accuracy of Solid Dosage Form. Am J Pharm Health Res. 2015;12(5):1–26.
- Acharya P, Baral R, Manandhar S, Shahi P, Gurung B, Lamichhane A. Formulation and In-Vitro Characterization of Fluxetine Hydrochloride Loaded Fast Dissolving Oral Film using HPMC 15 CPS and HPMC K4M. J Pharm Drug Dev. 2021;8(1):1–19.
- Ravi K, Chandrakala V, Srinivasan S. Formulation and Evaluation of Mouth Dissolving Film of Ondonsetron Hydrochloride by using Superdisintegrant. *Int J Pharm Res Appl.* 2021;6(6):472–85.
- Tizkam H, Osma Q, Ghazy E. Formulation and Evaluation of Metoclopramide Fast Dissolving Film. . Syst Rev Pharm. 2020;11(12):1641–6.

- Arwa I, Ibrahim M, Hassan A. Optimization and evaluation of venalafaxine hydrochloride fast dissolving oral films. *Saudi Pharm* J. 2020;28(11):1374–82.
- Indian Pharmacopoeia 2022, Government of India, Ministry of Health & family welfare. vol. 334. Ghaziabad;.
- Amar S, Bhavana N, Sankeerth PT, Nandhini P, Nadendla R. Formulation and Evaluation of Loperamide Oral Films. *Int J Sci Eng Res.* 2017;8(10):370–8.
- Sultana F, Arafat M, Pathan S. Preparation and evaluation of Fast Dissolving Oral Thin Film of Caffeine. Int J Pharm Biol Sci. 2013;3(1):153–61.
- Pingale P, Shinkar D, Boraste S, Formulation AS. Evaluation and Characterization of Mouth Dissolving Film of Cisapride. *Curr Trends Biotechnol Pharm.* 2022;16(4):490–500.
- Semalty A, Semalty M, Nautiyal U. Formulation and Evaluation of Mucoadhesive Buccal Films of Enalapril Maleate. *Indian J Pharm Sci.* 2010;72(5):571–5.
- Dasari N, Nandhini S, Sudhakar M. Design and evaluation of fast dissolving oral films of Zolpidem by solvent casting method. *Asian J Pharm Res.* 2016;6(2):67–71.
- Ramana V, Sekhar C, Reddy SS, Reddy A, Rao R. Formulation and Optimization of Zolmitriptan Oral Fast Dissolving Films. *Int J Chem Tech Res.* 2018;11(9):96–107.
- Mahaparale S, Wagh B. Formulation and Evaluation of Mouth dissolving film of Glycopyrrolate. *Int J Creat Res Thoug.* 2021;9(9):509–16.
- Deepthi R, Kumar S. Formulation and Evaluation of Amlodipine Besylate Oral thin films. *Int J Pharm Sci Res.* 2004;7(1):199–205.
- Ali I, Jahangir M, Ahmed M, Muheem A, Shahab M, Bhavani P, et al. Development and In vitro Evaluation of Mouth Dissolving Films of Captopril. *Int Res J Pharm.* 2015;6(11):760–4.
- Bala R, Pawar P, Khanna S, Arora S. Orally dissolving strips: A new approach to oral drug delivery system. *Int J Pharm Invest*. 2013;3(2):67–76.
- Singh S, Gangwar S, Garg G, Garg V, Sharma P. Formulation and evaluation of rapidly disintegrating film of Levocetrizine Hydrochloride. *Schol Res Lib.* 2010;2(2):434–9.
- Sanjay P, Gupta V, Sivadsu P. Formulation and Evaluation of Oral Disinterating Film of Atenolol. *Asian Journal of Pharmaceutical and Clinical Research*. 2018;11(8):313–315.

Author biography

S.M. Ghurghure, Assistant Professor

S.M. Attar, Student

Sumit, Student

Cite this article: Ghurghure SM, Attar SM, Sumit. Teneligliptin hydrobromide hydrate mouth dissolving strip: Formulation and evaluation. *Int J Pharm Chem Anal* 2024;11(2):164-170.